

Regent University



About This Report

About Your Multi-Year Report

For institutions participating in multiple NSSE administrations since 2013, the year of the last survey update, this report presents year-to-year results for Engagement Indicators (EIs), High-Impact Practices (HIPs), and key academic challenge items to illustrate patterns of change or stability. It also provides details such as number of respondents, standard deviation, and standard error so that statistical tests can be calculated.

For more information and recommendations for analyzing NSSE data over time, view our webinar entitled *Recommendations for Using Multiple Years of NSSE Data:* nsse.indiana.edu/links/webinar

This report contains three main parts: (a) a page that provides a quick reference to important information about each year's administration, (b) multi-year figures, and (c) detailed statistics. Key terms and features are illustrated below.

Report sections

Administration Summaries (p. 3)	A summary of respondent counts, response rates, sampling errors, and administration details for each participation year.
Engagement Results by Theme (pp. 4-7)	Results for ten EIs and selected individual survey items are displayed, organized under four broad themes. The Academic Challenge theme is represented by four EIs as well as several individual items. The three remaining engagement themes (Learning with Peers, Experiences with Faculty, and Campus Environment) are each represented by two EIs.
High-Impact Practices (pp. 8-9)	Results for six HIPs are displayed. First-year student results indicate students who participated in service-learning, a learning community, and research with faculty, and who <i>planned to do</i> an internship or field experience, study abroad, and a culminating senior experience. Senior results indicate students who participated in all six.
Detailed Statistics (pp. 10-13)	Displays detailed information for results including counts, standard errors, and confidence intervals (CIs) for each measure.

Interpreting year-to-year results

When examining year-to-year results, you may wonder whether observed differences signify meaningful change and whether a trend is indicated. Figures display CIs around each score showing the range of values that is estimated to contain the population score 95% of the time. Upper and lower CI bounds are also reported in the Detailed Statistics section.

For further investigation

The Report Builder, updated with current data in the fall, allows for multi-year analysis of Engagement Indicators and individual items (including HIPs). It also affords the analysis of results by subpopulation.



Administration Summaries

Regent University

The precision of an institution's population estimates can vary between administrations. An important early step in conducting a multi-year analysis is to review data quality. The values in the tables below were drawn from your *Administration Summary* reports.

Response Details by Participation Year

		Fir	rst-year studen	nts		Seniors										
Year	Response rate ^a	Sampling error ^b	Total respondents ^c	Full completions	Partial completions	Response rate ^a	Sampling error ^b	Total respondents ^c	Full completions	Partial completions						
2013	44%	+/- 5.6%	170	142	28	43%	+/- 3.9%	360	310	50						
2014	41%	+/- 8.2%	86	74	12	44%	+/- 4.4%	284	253	31						
2015	35%	+/- 8.8%	82	69	13	39%	+/- 4.7%	270	236	34						
2016	29%	+/- 5.7%	208	167	41	29%	+/- 4.9%	282	240	42						
2017	32%	+/- 4.4%	338	263	75	35%	+/- 4.0%	385	346	39						
2018	25%	+/- 5.0%	289	234	55	38%	+/- 3.5%	495	432	63						
2019	20%	+/- 5.7%	233	186	47	34%	+/- 3.6%	492	431	61						
2020																

	Recruitment		Incentives		Report Sample		
Year	method	Sample type	offered	Additional question sets	identified ^d	BCSSE	FSSE
2013	Email	Census	Yes	Academic Advising, Writing Experiences	No	No	Yes
2014	Email	Census	Yes	Transferable Skills, Council for Christian Colleges & Universities	No	No	No
2015	Email	Census	Yes	Information Literacy, Council for Christian Colleges & Universities	No	No	No
2016	Email	Census	Yes	FY Experiences / Sr Transitions, Council for Christian Colleges & Universities	No	No	No
2017	Email	Census	Yes	Academic Advising, Council for Christian Colleges & Universities	No	No	No
2018	Email	Census	Yes	FY Experiences / Sr Transitions, Council for Christian Colleges & Universities	No	No	No
2019	Email	Census	Yes	FY Experiences / Sr Transitions, Council for Christian Colleges & Universities	No	No	No
2020							


Note: All of your institution's participation years since 2013 (the first year of the updated NSSE) are reported. Years in which your institution did not participate are blank.

- a. Response rates (number of respondents divided by sample size) are adjusted for ineligibility, nondeliverable addresses, and students who were unavailable during the survey administration.
- b. Sampling error gauges the precision of results based on a sample survey. It is an estimate (at the 95% confidence level) of how much survey item percentages for your respondents could differ from those of the entire population of students at your institution. While data with larger sampling errors (such as +/-10%) need not be dismissed out of hand, such results should be interpreted more conservatively.
- c. Count used to calculate response rates and sampling errors for each Administration Summary report. Includes all census-administered and randomly sampled students, regardless of "Report Sample" designation.
- d. Starting in 2017, institutions had the option to flag a subset of students for exclusion from reports. Refer to your Administration Summary reports.



Engagement Results by Theme Regent University

Engagement Indicators (EIs) represent the average student responses to a set of related survey questions. The Academic Challenge theme contains four EIs as well as several important individual items. See pages 10-12 for detailed statistics. For more information, including the items that make up each EI, refer to your *Engagement Indicators* report.



- a. Values for Course Reading and Assigned Writing are estimates calculated from two or more survey questions. The Course Reading item changed in 2014; comparability between 2013 and later years is limited.
- b. Extent to which courses challenged students to do their best work (1 = "Not at all" to 7 = "Very much").
- c. How much students said the institution emphasizes spending significant time studying and on academic work (1 = "Very little," 2 = "Some," 3 = "Quite a bit," and 4 = "Very much").




Academic Challenge: Seniors

NSSE 2019 Multi-Year Report

Engagement Results by Theme Regent University

Engagement Indicators (EIs) represent the average student responses to a set of related survey questions. The Academic Challenge theme contains four EIs as well as several important individual items. See pages 10-12 for detailed statistics. For more information, including the items that make up each EI, refer to your Engagement Indicators report.




c. How much students said the institution emphasizes spending significant time studying and on academic work (1 = "Very little," 2 = "Some," 3 = "Quite a bit," and 4 = "Very much").



Engagement Results by Theme Regent University

Engagement Indicators (EIs) represent the average student responses to a set of related survey questions. Each theme below is represented by two EIs. See pages 10-12 for detailed statistics. For more information, including the items that make up each EI, refer to your *Engagement Indicators* report.





Engagement Results by Theme

Regent University

Engagement Indicators (EIs) represent the average student responses to a set of related survey questions. Each theme below is represented by two EIs. See pages 10-12 for detailed statistics. For more information, including the items that make up each EI, refer to your *Engagement Indicators* report.

Learning with Peers: Seniors		Experiences with Faculty: Seniors	
Collaborative Learning	Discussions with Diverse Others	Student-Faculty Interaction	Effective Teaching Practices
60	60	60	60
45	36.9 39.4 36.9 37.2 36.7 36.5 37.9	45	45 40.9 41.9 41.5 41.5 39.7 40.1 41.1
30	30	30	30
16.1 17.2 17.0 14.9 16.7 13.7 15.2 15.2	15	15 13.6 14.5 14.1 14.1 12.8 13.7	15
0	0	0	0 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019
Campus Environment: Seniors			
Quality of Interactions	Supportive Environment		
50	60		
46.3 46.1 46.1 46.4 45.8 45.7 46.7	36.6 33.5 T 33.7 34.9		
0	33.5 33.7 34.9 32.5 32.5 33.8		
5	15		
0	0		



2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019

NSSE 2019 Multi-Year Report

High-Impact Practices Regent University

2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019

Due to their positive associations with student learning and retention, special undergraduate opportunities are designated "high-impact." The figures below display first-year students' participation, or intent to participate, in High-Impact Practices (HIPs) by year. See page 13 for detailed statistics. For more information, refer to your High-Impact Practices report.

High-Impact Practices: First-year students Service-Learning Learning Community Research with Faculty Dverall first-year HIP participation (Some, most, or all courses) (Done or in progress) (Done or in progress) 75% 75% 75% 100% 50% 50% 25% 25% 75% 11% 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 50% Internship/Field Experience **Study Abroad Culminating Senior Experience** (Plan to do) (Plan to do) (Plan to do) 100% 100% 100% 75% 75% 75% 50% 25% 25%

2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019

The figure below displays the percentages of first-

year students who participated in at least one high-impact practice. The figure is limited to participation in service-learning, a learning community, and research with faculty.




NSSE founding director George Kuh recommends that institutions aspire for all students to participate in at least two HIPs over the course of their undergraduate experience—one during the first year and one in the context of their major.



High-Impact Practices Regent University

Due to their positive associations with student learning and retention, special undergraduate opportunities are designated "high-impact." Participation in High-Impact Practices (HIPs) by year is displayed in the figures below. See page 13 for detailed statistics. For more information, refer to your *High-Impact Practices* report.



The figure below displays the percentages of seniors who participated in one, and two or more, high-impact practices. The figure includes



NSSE founding director George Kuh recommends that institutions aspire for all students to participate in at least two HIPs over the course of their undergraduate experience—one during the first year and one in



Detailed Statistics: Engagement Indicators and Additional Items

Regent University

		Seniors															
		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Academic Challenge																	
Higher-Order Learning	Mean	41.4	40.6	40.0	40.2	41.4	41.1	41.1		42.8	43.7	44.1	43.6	42.3	42.1	42.4	
	n	154	81	78	188	308	255	206		331	269	243	263	375	464	451	
	SD	13.7	14.5	14.6	14.4	13.3	13.8	14.6		14.1	14.0	13.6	14.3	13.1	13.4	13.4	
	SE	1.10	1.61	1.66	1.05	.76	.87	1.02		.78	.85	.87	.88	.68	.62	.63	
	CI upper bound	43.6	43.8	43.2	42.2	42.9	42.8	43.1		44.3	45.4	45.8	45.3	43.6	43.3	43.6	
	CI lower bound	39.2	37.5	36.7	38.1	39.9	39.4	39.1		41.3	42.1	42.4	41.9	40.9	40.9	41.2	
Reflective & Integrative	Mean	41.0	39.2	41.6	37.1	37.9	38.7	36.3		41.7	43.2	42.2	42.0	40.8	39.9	41.0	
Learning	n	161	83	79	195	326	269	216		340	277	255	265	378	471	472	
Learning	SD	11.8	13.0	11.3	12.4	12.4	12.7	12.1		12.3	12.3	11.5	11.7	11.6	12.1	11.9	
	SE	.93	1.42	1.27	.89	.69	.77	.82		.67	.74	.72	.72	.60	.56	.55	
	CI upper bound	42.8	42.0	44.1	38.8	39.3	40.2	37.9		43.0	44.6	43.6	43.4	42.0	41.0	42.1	
	CI lower bound	39.2	36.4	39.1	35.3	36.6	37.2	34.7		40.4	41.7	40.8	40.6	39.7	38.8	39.9	
Learning Strategies	Mean	41.2	35.7	41.2	41.4	42.5	43.6	42.6		43.0	42.7	43.9	43.4	42.5	41.4	43.2	
	n	149	79	70	177	276	241	200		320	259	238	256	352	446	440	
	SD	14.8	14.3	13.3	14.5	14.0	14.0	15.1		15.1	14.3	14.7	15.1	14.4	14.1	14.1	
	SE	1.21	1.60	1.59	1.09	.85	.90	1.07		.84	.89	.95	.94	.77	.67	.67	
	CI upper bound	43.5	38.8	44.3	43.5	44.2	45.4	44.7		44.6	44.4	45.7	45.2	44.0	42.7	44.5	
	CI lower bound	38.8	32.5	38.0	39.3	40.9	41.9	40.5		41.3	40.9	42.0	41.5	41.0	40.0	41.9	
Quantitative Reasoning	Mean	23.4	20.7	21.6	21.5	21.2	22.0	22.7		21.5	23.1	23.3	25.0	25.5	23.6	25.1	
Quantities in the control of the con	n	158	83	79	190	310	242	203		336	275	243	261	375	455	449	
	SD	17.0	15.1	15.1	16.8	16.5	17.4	17.5		17.3	18.3	16.8	18.2	16.5	16.5	16.6	
	SE	1.35	1.66	1.70	1.22	.94	1.12	1.23		.95	1.10	1.08	1.12	.85	.77	.78	
	CI upper bound	26.1	24.0	24.9	23.9	23.1	24.2	25.1		23.4	25.3	25.4	27.2	27.1	25.1	26.6	
	CI lower bound	20.8	17.5	18.2	19.1	19.4	19.8	20.2		19.7	20.9	21.2	22.8	23.8	22.1	23.6	
Academic Challenge (addit	ional items)																
Preparing for Class	Mean	16.0	14.5	16.2	17.0	16.7	17.5	16.5		18.3	17.3	16.7	17.9	18.0	17.9	17.6	
(hours/week)	n	141	72	70	167	262	236	192		309	254	231	246	350	432	439	
(Hours) week)	SD	8.2	9.2	9.0	8.7	8.2	8.9	9.3		8.1	8.6	8.8	8.7	8.4	8.7	8.1	
	SE	.69	1.09	1.08	.67	.51	.58	.67		.46	.54	.58	.56	.45	.42	.39	
	CI upper bound	17.4	16.6	18.3	18.3	17.7	18.6	17.9		19.2	18.4	17.9	19.0	18.8	18.7	18.3	
	CI lower bound	14.7	12.3	14.1	15.7	15.7	16.3	15.2		17.4	16.3	15.6	16.8	17.1	17.1	16.8	
Course Reading	Mean	9.1	7.6	8.2	11.1	11.2	11.8	10.8		10.1	10.9	10.6	11.0	11.1	11.3	11.1	
Estimated hours per week	n	140	72	70	166	259	233	192		312	249	230	245	341	428	436	
calculated from two survey	SD	6.3	7.1	6.7	7.4	6.8	7.8	7.5		6.5	7.2	7.3	7.4	6.8	7.1	6.9	
questions. Item wording changed in	SE	.53	.83	.80	.57	.42	.51	.54		.37	.45	.48	.47	.37	.34	.33	
2014; comparability between 2013	CI upper bound	10.2	9.3	9.8	12.2	12.0	12.8	11.9		10.9	11.8	11.6	12.0	11.8	12.0	11.8	
and later years is limited.	CI lower bound	8.1	6.0	6.6	10.0	10.4	10.8	9.7		9.4	10.0	9.7	10.1	10.4	10.6	10.5	
		GE G. 1															

Notes: n = Number of respondents; SD = Standard deviation; SE = Standard error of the mean; upper and lower bounds represent the 95% confidence interval (mean \pm 1.96 * SE).



Detailed Statistics: Engagement Indicators and Additional Items

Regent University

					_				-0-		- /						
				Firs	st-year s	tudents	5						Senio	ors			
		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Academic Challenge (addit	ional items, co	ntinued)															
Assigned Writing	Mean	71.4	84.7	59.8	61.2	72.6	78.1	72.2		97.5	104.2	86.9	108.0	93.8	89.1	94.5	
Estimated number of pages	n	137	68	63	168	278	240	199		285	220	217	238	353	441	443	
calculated from three survey	SD	65.9	60.2	41.9	69.3	80.7	103.9	86.6		93.1	91.5	65.1	93.7	88.7	80.2	88.5	
questions.	SE	5.63	7.31	5.26	5.36	4.84	6.71	6.15		5.52	6.16	4.41	6.07	4.72	3.82	4.21	
	CI upper bound	82.4	99.0	70.1	71.7	82.1	91.3	84.2		108.3	116.3	95.5	119.9	103.0	96.6	102.8	
	CI lower bound	60.3	70.3	49.4	50.7	63.1	65.0	60.1		86.7	92.1	78.2	96.1	84.5	81.6	86.3	
Course Challenge	Mean	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.9	5.9	6.0	6.0		6.1	6.1	6.1	6.1	6.0	6.0	6.1	
Extent to which courses challenged	n	152	79	70	179	279	239	198		327	264	243	255	355	448	444	
students to do their best work (1 = $\frac{1}{2}$	SD	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.3	1.4		1.1	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.1	
"Not at all" to 7 = "Very much").	SE	.08	.11	.12	.08	.07	.08	.10		.06	.06	.06	.07	.06	.06	.05	
	CI upper bound	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.1	6.1	6.2	6.2		6.2	6.3	6.2	6.3	6.2	6.1	6.2	
	CI lower bound	5.7	5.6	5.5	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8		6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	5.9	5.9	6.0	
Academic Emphasis	Mean	3.5	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.4	3.3		3.5	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.3	
Perceived institutional emphasis on	n	140	75	70	168	263	238	197		313	257	236	250	352	442	443	
spending significant time studying	SD	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8		0.7	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	
and on academic work (1 = "Very	SE	.06	.08	.08	.05	.04	.05	.05		.04	.04	.04	.05	.04	.03	.03	
little," 2 = "Some," 3 = "Quite a bit,"	CI upper bound	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.4	3.4		3.6	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.4	
and 4 = "Very much").	CI lower bound	3.3	3.2	3.2	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.2		3.4	3.5	3.4	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	
Learning with Peers																	
Collaborative Learning	Mean	22.1	22.9	22.2	16.9	14.3	12.9	12.3		16.1	17.2	17.0	14.9	16.7	13.7	15.2	
J	n	164	85	79	199	326	278	222		342	272	258	265	381	473	484	
	SD	15.4	16.0	15.9	15.0	14.5	15.1	14.4		12.0	13.8	11.9	13.1	13.6	12.6	13.1	
	SE	1.20	1.73	1.78	1.06	.81	.90	.96		.65	.84	.74	.81	.69	.58	.59	
	CI upper bound	24.4	26.3	25.7	19.0	15.9	14.7	14.2		17.4	18.9	18.4	16.5	18.0	14.8	16.4	
	CI lower bound	19.7	19.5	18.7	14.8	12.7	11.1	10.5		14.9	15.6	15.5	13.3	15.3	12.5	14.0	
Discussions with Diverse	Mean	40.0	35.9	41.1	36.7	37.1	35.0	33.9		36.9	39.4	36.9	37.2	36.7	36.5	37.9	
Others	n	152	77	69	181	274	241	199		325	265	237	253	354	443	437	
	SD	15.6	14.4	12.9	16.6	16.1	16.4	17.5		15.6	15.6	15.8	16.0	15.4	15.6	15.1	
	SE	1.26	1.63	1.55	1.23	.97	1.06	1.24		.86	.96	1.03	1.01	.82	.74	.72	
	CI upper bound	42.5	39.1	44.1	39.1	39.0	37.1	36.4		38.6	41.2	38.9	39.2	38.3	37.9	39.4	
	CI lower bound	37.5	32.6	38.1	34.3	35.2	32.9	31.5		35.2	37.5	34.9	35.2	35.1	35.0	36.5	

Notes: n = Number of respondents; SD = Standard deviation; SE = Standard error of the mean; upper and lower bounds represent the 95% confidence interval (mean +/- 1.96 * SE).



Detailed Statistics: Engagement Indicators and Additional Items

Regent University

				Firs	st-vear s	tudents	;						Senio	ors			
		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Experiences with Faculty																	
Student-Faculty	Mean	14.7	14.2	15.7	13.1	13.0	13.7	12.6		13.6	14.5	11.6	14.1	14.1	12.8	13.7	
Interaction	n	159	83	75	195	322	259	211		336	275	247	263	373	461	462	
interaction	SD	12.4	10.5	11.5	13.1	12.1	13.3	12.3		12.8	13.4	11.2	14.1	12.2	12.3	13.3	
	SE	.98	1.15	1.32	.94	.67	.82	.85		.70	.81	.71	.87	.63	.57	.62	
	CI upper bound	16.6	16.5	18.3	15.0	14.4	15.3	14.2		15.0	16.1	13.0	15.8	15.3	13.9	14.9	
	CI lower bound	12.7	12.0	13.1	11.3	11.7	12.1	10.9		12.2	12.9	10.2	12.4	12.8	11.7	12.5	
Effective Teaching	Mean	40.9	41.7	40.5	39.8	41.4	39.7	40.4		40.9	41.9	41.5	41.5	39.7	40.1	41.1	
Practices	n	159	83	79	193	317	252	206		343	278	249	265	377	461	459	
ractices	SD	12.6	12.5	12.3	14.6	14.7	15.4	16.8		15.0	14.6	14.8	14.4	14.2	14.2	13.8	
	SE	1.00	1.37	1.38	1.05	.83	.97	1.17		.81	.88	.94	.89	.73	.66	.64	
	CI upper bound	42.8	44.3	43.2	41.9	43.0	41.6	42.7		42.5	43.7	43.3	43.2	41.2	41.4	42.4	
	CI lower bound	38.9	39.0	37.8	37.7	39.7	37.8	38.1		39.3	40.2	39.6	39.7	38.3	38.8	39.8	
Campus Environment																	
Quality of Interactions	Mean	44.1	45.6	46.8	43.9	45.0	46.0	47.1		46.3	46.1	46.1	46.4	45.8	45.7	46.7	
.	n	141	72	63	158	251	211	168		301	241	223	232	326	397	386	
	SD	12.6	11.5	10.9	13.6	13.8	14.3	13.3		13.3	13.5	12.3	12.1	12.4	12.3	12.3	
	SE	1.06	1.36	1.37	1.09	.87	.98	1.03		.77	.87	.82	.79	.69	.62	.62	
	CI upper bound	46.2	48.2	49.5	46.0	46.7	47.9	49.1		47.8	47.8	47.8	48.0	47.1	46.9	47.9	
	CI lower bound	42.1	42.9	44.1	41.8	43.3	44.1	45.1		44.8	44.4	44.5	44.8	44.4	44.5	45.5	
Supportive Environment	Mean	38.9	36.3	36.5	34.8	35.1	32.3	32.8		33.5	36.6	33.7	34.9	32.5	32.5	33.8	
••	n	139	72	68	165	263	230	192		310	254	230	246	349	432	438	
	SD	15.3	13.3	14.3	14.5	15.7	15.2	16.0		16.1	16.7	15.0	15.9	15.3	15.1	15.1	
	SE	1.30	1.57	1.73	1.13	.97	1.00	1.15		.91	1.05	.99	1.01	.82	.73	.72	
	CI upper bound	41.5	39.3	39.9	37.0	37.0	34.3	35.1		35.3	38.6	35.6	36.9	34.1	33.9	35.2	
	CI lower bound	36.4	33.2	33.1	32.6	33.2	30.4	30.6		31.7	34.5	31.8	32.9	30.9	31.0	32.3	

Notes: n = Number of respondents; SD = Standard deviation; SE = Standard error of the mean; upper and lower bounds represent the 95% confidence interval (mean +/- 1.96 * SE).



Detailed Statistics: High-Impact Practices

Regent University

									0										
				Firs	st-year s	student	S			Seniors									
		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020		
Service-Learning ^a	%	41	33	39	34	36	32	40		39	39	47	49	47	46	51			
Service-Learning	n	151	78	70	180	275	239	194		327	262	242	255	356	444	441			
	SE	4.0	5.3	5.9	3.6	2.9	3.0	3.5		2.7	3.0	3.2	3.1	2.6	2.4	2.4			
	CI upper bound (%)	49	43	51	41	42	38	47		44	44	53	55	52	50	56			
	CI lower bound (%)	33	22	28	28	30	26	34		34	33	41	43	41	41	46			
Learning Community ^a	%	11	3	6	9	8	7	7		9	8	6	9	8	7	9			
,	n	150	78	69	178	276	241	198		327	262	242	256	356	446	446			
	SE	2.5	1.8	2.8	2.1	1.6	1.6	1.8		1.6	1.7	1.5	1.8	1.4	1.2	1.4			
	CI upper bound (%)	15	6	11	13	11	10	10		12	12	9	13	11	10	12			
	CI lower bound (%)	6	0	0	5	5	4	3		6	5	3	6	5	5	7			
Research with Faculty ^a	%	4	4	6	3	3	6	4		4	10	7	4	5	6	8			
	n SE	151	78 2.2	68	176	275	239	197		323	259	239	253	357	442	444			
	SE CI upper bound (%)	1.6 7	2.2 8	3.0 12	1.2 5	1.1 6	1.5 9	1.4 6		1.2 7	1.9 13	1.7 10	1.2 6	1.1 7	1.1 8	1.3 11			
	CI lower bound (%)	1	0	0	0	1	3	1		2	6	4	2	3	8 4	6			
to the second time and exhalm	%	57	56	58	53	54	49	42		18	16	20	20		18	19			
Internship or Field	n	150	7 9	71	178	278	239	198		328	263	243	256	355	447	445			
Experience ^b	SE	4.1	5.6	5.9	3.8	3.0	3.2	3.5		2.1	2.3	2.6	2.5	2.0	1.8	1.9			
(First-year results: Plan to do)	CI upper bound (%)	65	67	70	60	60	55	49		22	21	25	25	20	22	23			
(**************************************	CI lower bound (%)	49	45	46	45	48	42	35		14	12	15	15	12	14	15			
Study Abroad ^b	%	32	34	36	26	17	20	16		3	3	2	3	3	3	2			
	n	151	79	70	179	275	241	196		327	263	241	256	354	443	444			
(First-year results: Plan to do)	SE	3.8	5.3	5.8	3.3	2.3	2.6	2.6		0.9	1.0	0.9	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.7			
	CI upper bound (%)	40	44	47	32	21	25	22		4	5	4	5	4	4	4			
	CI lower bound (%)	25	23	24	19	13	15	11		1	1	0	1	1	1	1			
Culminating Senior	%	58	54	68	48	45	37	38		38	38	39	44	34	32	36			
Experience	n	150	78	69	177	273	237	198		324	262	243	255	357	443	444			
•	SE	4.0	5.7	5.7	3.8	3.0	3.1	3.4		2.7	3.0	3.1	3.1	2.5	2.2	2.3			
(First-year results: Plan to do)	CI upper bound (%)	66	65	79	55	51	43	44		43	44	45	50	39	37	40			
	CI lower bound (%)	50	42	57	40	39	31	31		33	32	32	38	29	28	31			
Overall HIP Participat	ionິ																		
Participated in one HIP	%	37	34	37	35	34	31	40		36	39	33	34	38	34	34			
•	n	152	79	72	180	277	241	199		328	264	244	258	357	451	446			
	SE	3.9	5.3	5.7	3.6	2.8	3.0	3.5		2.7	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.6	2.2	2.2			
	CI upper bound (%)	45	44	49	42	39	37	47		41	44	39	40	43	39	39			
	CI lower bound (%)	30	23	26	28	28	25	33		31	33	27	28	33	30	30			
Participated in two or	%	8	3	6	5	7	6	5		28	26	32	37	28	29	34			
more HIPs	n	152	79	72	180	277	241	199		328	264	244	258	357	451	446			
	SE	2.2	1.8	2.8	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.6		2.5	2.7	3.0	3.0	2.4	2.1	2.2			
	CI upper bound (%)	13	6	11	8	9	9	8		32	31	38	43	33	33	38			
	CI lower bound (%)	4	0	0	2	4	3	2		23	21	27	31	24	25	30			

Notes: n = Number of respondents; SE = Standard error of the proportion (sqrt[(p * (1 - p)) / (n - 1)]) where p is the proportion; upper and lower bounds represent the 95% confidence interval (p + / - 1.96 * SE).

IPEDS: 231651

a. Results are the percentage who had done the activity.

b. First-year results are the percentage who planned to do the activity; Senior results are the percentage who had done the activity.

c. First-year results are limited to participation in a Learning Community, Service-Learning, and Research with Faculty; senior results include all six HIPs.