

## About the ETS® Proficiency Profile Custom Comparative Data Report

The Custom Comparative Data Report can assist you in interpreting the scores from the ETS® Proficiency Profile by helping you determine how your students' skills compare with the skills of students at similar institutions. The report generates descriptive statistics based on a reference group of 10 or more other institutions of interest which you select. Information about an institution gathered through ETS Proficiency Profile administrations cannot be released in any form attributable to or identifiable with an individual institution. The anonymity of each institution's performance is maintained by reporting only the aggregate performance of the selected reference group.

Below are descriptions of the various tables you can generate using this service:

- Distribution of Individual Students' Total Scores/Subscores The distributions in these tables may be used to interpret individual student results by determining what percent of those taking the test at the selected institutions attained scores below that of a particular student. Each table shows scaled score intervals for Total Score and Subscores separately. By looking up the Total Score or Subscore and reading across the row to the corresponding number in the column headed "Percent Below," the percent of individuals scoring below any interval can be determined.
- Distribution of Institutional Mean Total Scores/Subscores The distributions in these tables present the number of institutions at each mean score level. These tables provide a way to compare the Total Score and Subscore means for your institution with those of other participating institutions you selected. These tables show the mean of means (or the average of the mean scores for those institutions selected) as well as the standard deviations of those means.
- Summary of Proficiency Classifications This table presents the percentage of students classified in each criterion-referenced Proficiency Classification Proficient, Not Proficient, and Marginally Proficient within each Proficiency Level Level 1, Level 2, and Level 3 in each skill dimension (Reading/Critical Thinking, Writing, and Mathematics) for the selected institutions. Descriptions of the competencies and abilities measured at each Proficiency Level can be found at <a href="http://www.ets.org/proficiencyprofile/about/content/">http://www.ets.org/proficiencyprofile/about/content/</a>.

The following considerations should be kept in mind when interpreting comparative data:

- This data should be considered comparative rather than normative because the institutions included in the data do not represent proportionally the various types of higher education institutions. The data are drawn entirely from institutions that choose to use ETS Proficiency Profile. Such a self-selected sample may not be representative of all institutions.
- The number of students tested and sampling procedures vary from one institution to another. Therefore, it is impossible to verify that the students tested at each institution are representative of all that institution's students.
- It is helpful when these comparisons involve students at approximately the same point in their educational careers. The report allows you to filter on students having attained a certain number of credit hours (e.g. Entering Freshmen, Sophomores, etc.). Students who have not identified their credit status are excluded from these calculations.
- The tables report data for institutions that have tested 30 or more students at the selected class level or number of credit hours attained. Institutions with fewer than 30 test takers at that class level are excluded from these calculations.
- In certain circumstances, the score distribution used to compute these statistics will be modified to prevent the statistics from being dominated by a few very large institutions. If an institution contributes a large number of students to a data set, the score of each of its students will be weighted. If weighting is applied to the report, a footnote explaining the weighting process will appear below the table. Weighting is only applied to reports based on individual student results.

For more information about this report or other ways the ETS Proficiency Profile can help your program, contact an ETS Advisor at highered@ets.org or call 1-800-745-0269.

The following reports include tests taken as of 6/30/2017.



## ETS Proficiency Profile Custom Comparative Data Report Institution List Senior (>90 semester hours/>145 quarter hours) Baccalaureate (Liberal Arts) Colleges I and II July 2012 through June 2017

	Number of
School Name	Students
Anderson University - South Carolina, SC	743
Asbury University, KY	267
Athens State University, AL	672
Belhaven University (MS), MS	783
Bennett College for Women, NC	262
Blue Mountain College, MS	55
Brescia University, KY	130
Bryan College, TN	54
Clayton State University, GA	762
Covenant College, GA	85
ECPI University, NC	2,445
-	
Elizabeth City State University, NC	46
Everglades University, FL	491
Faulkner University, AL	36
Ferrum College, VA	33
Fisk University, TN	437
Georgetown College, KY	477
Guilford College, NC	247
High Point University, NC	156
Howard Payne University, TX	266
Jarvis Christian College, TX	150
Judson College, AL	33
Keiser University, FL	1,493
Leavell College, LA	89
LeTourneau University, TX	412
Limestone College, SC	859
Newberry College, SC	383
Oglethorpe University, GA	51
Palm Beach Atlantic University, FL	250
Patrick Henry College, VA	217
Point University, GA	416
Presbyterian College, SC	50
Regent University, VA	537
Reinhardt University, GA	642
Saint Augustines University, NC	37
Saint Leo University, FL	385
Schreiner University, TX	95
South College - Knoxville, TN	415
Southeastern University, FL	
	1,112
Southern Adventist University, TN	1,326
Spelman College, GA	34
St. Andrews University, NC	73
Talladega College, AL	79
University of Mobile, AL	241
University of Mount Olive, NC	37
University of North Texas - Denton, TX	242
University of Pikeville, KY	640
University of South Carolina - Aiken, SC	572
University of South Carolina - Upstate, SC	397
Victory University, TN	154
Webber International University, FL	41
Wiley College, TX	637
Total	20,546



ETS Proficiency Profile Custom Comparative Data Report Distribution of Institutional Mean Total Scores Senior (>90 semester hours/>145 quarter hours) Baccalaureate (Liberal Arts) Colleges I and II July 2012 through June 2017

Mean Total Score	Number of Institutions	Percent of Instns. Below	Mean Total Score	Number of Institutions	Percent of Instns. Below	
470 to 500.00	1	98	445 to 445.99 2		54	
469 to 469.99	0	98	98 444 to 444.99		48	
468 to 468.99	1	96	443 to 443.99 2		44	
467 to 467.99	0	96	442 to 442.99	1	42	
466 to 466.99	0	96	441 to 441.99	1	40	
465 to 465.99	0	96	440 to 440.99	2	37	
464 to 464.99	0	96	439 to 439.99	4	29	
463 to 463.99	0	96	438 to 438.99	0	29	
462 to 462.99	0	96	437 to 437.99	1	27	
461 to 461.99	1	94	436 to 436.99	0	27	
460 to 460.99	1	92	435 to 435.99 2		23	
459 to 459.99	0	92	434 to 434.99 1		21	
458 to 458.99	0	92	433 to 433.99 2		17	
457 to 457.99	0	92	432 to 432.99 1		15	
456 to 456.99	0	92	431 to 431.99 1		13	
455 to 455.99	1	90	430 to 430.99	430 to 430.99 1		
454 to 454.99	1	88	429 to 429.99	429 to 429.99 2		
453 to 453.99	2	85	428 to 428.99	428 to 428.99 1		
452 to 452.99	1	83	427 to 427.99	0	6	
451 to 451.99	0	83	426 to 426.99 0		6	
450 to 450.99	1	81	425 to 425.99 0		6	
449 to 449.99	1	79	400 to 424.99	3	0	
448 to 448.99	2	75				
447 to 447.99	4	67		•	•	
446 to 446.99	5	58				
			Total Number of Institutions 52			
			Mean 443.2			
			Std. Dev. 10.9			

 $\label{logo} \textbf{Copyright} @ \ \textbf{2011 by Educational Testing Service}. \ \textbf{All rights reserved}. \ \textbf{ETS and the ETS logo are registered trademarks of Educational Testing Service (ETS)}.$ 



ETS Proficiency Profile Custom Comparative Data Report
Distribution of Institutional Mean Subscores
Senior (>90 semester hours/>145 quarter hours)
Baccalaureate (Liberal Arts) Colleges I and II
July 2012 through June 2017

	Skills Subscores							
	Critical TI	hinking	Read	ing	Writing		Math	
	No. of	Pct.	No. of	Pct.	No. of	Pct.	No. of	Pct.
Mean Subscore	Instns.	Below	Instns.	Below	Instns.	Below	Instns.	Below
126 to 130	0	100	0	100	0	100	0	100
125 to 125.99	0	100	1	98	0	100	0	100
124 to 124.99	0	100	0	98	0	100	0	100
123 to 123.99	0	100	1	96	0	100	0	100
122 to 122.99	0	100	0	96	0	100	0	100
121 to 121.99	0	100	3	90	0	100	0	100
120 to 120.99	1	98	6	79	0	100	1	98
119 to 119.99	1	96	7	65	1	98	1	96
118 to 118.99	0	96	11	44	1	96	0	96
117 to 117.99	0	96	4	37	3	90	1	94
116 to 116.99	0	96	4	29	4	83	2	90
115 to 115.99	2	92	3	23	12	60	3	85
114 to 114.99	2	88	7	10	10	40	6	73
113 to 113.99	5	79	2	6	6	29	8	58
112 to 112.99	8	63	0	6	6	17	10	38
111 to 111.99	10	44	2	2	5	8	10	19
110 to 110.99	8	29	1	0	0	8	1	17
109 to 109.99	6	17	0	0	2	4	4	10
108 to 108.99	5	8	0	0	1	2	4	2
107 to 107.99	1	6	0	0	1	0	1	0
106 to 106.99	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
100 to 105.99	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Institutions	52		52	<u>.</u>	52		52	
Mean	111	. 4	117	.8	114.2		112.8	
Standard Deviation	2.8	3	3.0	)	2.4		2.6	, ,



ETS Proficiency Profile Custom Comparative Data Report Distribution of Institutional Mean Subscores (continued) Senior (>90 semester hours/>145 quarter hours) Baccalaureate (Liberal Arts) Colleges I and II July 2012 through June 2017

	Context-based Subscores						
	Humanities		Social Sc	iences	Natural Sciences		
Mean Subscore	No. of Instns.	Pct. Below	No. of Instns.	Pct. Below	No. of Instns.	Pct. Below	
126 to 130	0	100	0	100	0	100	
125 to 125.99	0	100	0	100	0	100	
124 to 124.99	0	100	0	100	0	100	
123 to 123.99	1	98	0	100	0	100	
122 to 122.99	0	98	0	100	0	100	
121 to 121.99	1	96	1	98	1	98	
120 to 120.99	0	96	0	98	1	96	
119 to 119.99	0	96	1	96	0	96	
118 to 118.99	1	94	0	96	2	92	
117 to 117.99	2	90	0	96	6	81	
116 to 116.99	10	71	2	92	9	63	
115 to 115.99	6	60	3	87	11	42	
114 to 114.99	13	35	15	58	5	33	
113 to 113.99	7	21	8	42	6	21	
112 to 112.99	6	10	9	25	2	17	
111 to 111.99	3	4	3	19	6	6	
110 to 110.99	1	2	6	8	1	4	
109 to 109.99	1	0	1	6	1	2	
108 to 108.99	0	0	2	2	1	0	
107 to 107.99	0	0	0	2	0	0	
106 to 106.99	0	0	1	0	0	0	
100 to 105.99	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number of Institutions	ns 52		52		52		
Mean	114.	114.8		113.3		114.9	
Standard Deviation	2.5		2.6	1	2.5	i	

 $\label{logo} \mbox{Copyright} @ \mbox{2011 by Educational Testing Service. All rights reserved. ETS and the ETS logo are registered trademarks of Educational Testing Service (ETS).}$ 



ETS Proficiency Profile Custom Comparative Data Report Summary of Proficiency Classifications Senior (>90 semester hours/>145 quarter hours) Baccalaureate (Liberal Arts) Colleges I and II July 2012 through June 2017

	Percent of Students Classified as				
Skill Dimension and Level	Proficient	Marginal	Not Proficient		
Critical Thinking	5%	16%	78%		
Reading, Level 2	33%	19%	48%		
Reading, Level 1	60%	18%	22%		
Writing, Level 3	8%	22%	69%		
Writing, Level 2	19%	33%	48%		
Writing, Level 1	57%	27%	16%		
Mathematics, Level 3	6%	14%	80%		
Mathematics, Level 2	24%	24%	52%		
Mathematics, Level 1	47%	24%	29%		
Total Number of Students: 20,546					

Weighted Number of Students: 18,882 \*

Copyright© 2011 by Educational Testing Service. All rights reserved. ETS and the ETS logo are registered trademarks of Educational Testing Service (ETS).

<sup>\*</sup>The score distribution used to compute these statistics has been modified, to prevent the statistics from being dominated by a few very large institutions. If an institution contributed more than 1200 students to this data set, the score of each of its students has been weighted by the fraction 1200/n, where n is the number of students from that institution. For example, if an institution tested 2400 students, the score of each of its students would receive a weight of 1200/2400 = 1/2. In computing the statistics, each of its students would could only half as much as a student from an institution that tested 1200 or fewer students. Therefore, an institution testing 2400 students would influence the statistics just as much as if it had tested only 1200 students.