



# 2015 ETS Proficiency Profile Comparative Data Guide for Unproctored Administrations

The annual Comparative Data Guide (CDG) contains tables of scaled scores and percentiles for institutional means and individual student scores drawn directly from test takers across the nation. The CDG can assist you in interpreting the scores from the ETS<sup>®</sup> Proficiency Profile by helping you determine how your students' skills compare with the skills of students at similar institutions. The report provides descriptive statistics based on the number of students that have completed an unproctored version of the ETS Proficiency Profile between July 1, 2010 and June 30, 2015. Information about an institution gathered through ETS Proficiency Profile administrations cannot be released in any form attributable to or identifiable with an individual institution. The anonymity of each institution's performance is maintained by reporting only the aggregate performance of the selected reference group.

Below are descriptions of the various tables provided in this guide:

- **Institutional Means Total Score/Subscore Distributions** The distributions in these tables present the number of institutions at each mean score level. These tables provide a way to compare the Total Score and Subscore means for your institution with those of other participating institutions. These tables show the mean of means (or the average of the mean scores for those institutions/programs selected) as well as the standard deviations of those means.
- Individual Students Total Score/Subscore Distributions The distributions in these tables may be used to interpret results by determining what percent of those taking the test at the selected institutions attained scores below that of a particular student. Each table shows scaled score intervals for Total Score and Subscores separately. By looking up the Total Score or Subscore and reading across the row to the corresponding number in the column headed "Percent Below," the percent of individuals scoring below any interval can be determined.
- Summary of Proficiency Classifications This table presents the percentage of students classified as "Proficient", "Marginal", and "Not Proficient" for each skill dimension and level. This table provides a way to compare the proficiency levels at your institution with the selected test taker population. Descriptions of the competencies and abilities measured at each Proficiency Level can be found at http://www.ets.org/proficiencyprofile/scores/proficiency\_classifications/.

The following considerations should be kept in mind when interpreting comparative data:

- This data should be considered comparative rather than normative because the institutions included in the data do not
  represent proportionally the various types of higher education institutions and programs. The data are drawn entirely from
  institutions that choose to use the ETS Proficiency Profile. Such a self-selected sample may not be representative of all
  institutions or programs.
- The number of students tested and sampling procedures vary from one institution to another. Therefore, it is impossible to verify that the students tested at each institution are representative of all the institution's students in that program.
- Only those institutions testing 30 or more students in a college class were included in the analyses for that college class. Institutions with fewer than 30 test takers at that class level are excluded from these calculations.
- The score distribution used to compute these statistics has been modified, to prevent the statistics from being dominated by a few very large institutions. If an institution contributed more than 1600 students to this data set, the score of each of its students has been weighted by the fraction 1600/n, where n is the number of students from that institution. For example, if an institution tested 3200 students, the score of each of its students would receive a weight of 1600/3200 = 1/2. In computing the statistics, each of its students would count only half as much as a student from an institution that tested 1600 or fewer students. Therefore, an institution testing 3200 students would influence the statistics just as much as if it had tested only 1600 students.

For more information about this report or other ways the ETS Proficiency Profile can help your program, contact an ETS Advisor at **highered@ets.org** or call **1-800-745-0269**.

The following tables include tests taken as of June 30, 2015.



#### 2015 Comparative Data Guide Seniors (More than 90 semester hours or more than 145 quarter hours), Baccalaureate (Liberal Arts) Colleges I and II—Institution List Data includes students from domestic institutions who tested between July 2010 through June 2015.

American Sentinel University, CO Ashford University, IA
Athens State University Al
Belhaven University (MS) MS
Charter Oak State College CT
College of the Ozarks MO
Colorado Mosa University CO
Colorado Mesa Oniversity, CO
Columbia College (MO), MO
ECPI University, NC
Elms College, MA
Everglades University, FL
Excelsior College, NY
High Point University, NC
Houghton College, NY
Howard Payne University, TX
Kaplan University, IL
Keiser University, FL
LeTourneau University, TX

Limestone College, SC Midland University, NE Missouri Southern State University, MO Newberry College, SC Ottawa University- Phoenix, AZ Pacific Union College, CA Patrick Henry College, VA Point University, GA Presentation College, SD Regent University, VA Rocky Mountain College, MT Saint Leo University, FL Southeastern University, FL Sterling College, KS Strayer University, DC University of Mount Olive, NC Victory University, TN Wingate University, NC

Total Number of	Total Number of
Institutions	Students
36	18,674

Only those institutions testing 30 or more students in a college class were included in the analyses for that college class.



### 2015 Comparative Data Guide Distribution of Institutional Mean Total Scores— Seniors (More than 90 semester hours or more than 145 quarter hours), Baccalaureate (Liberal Arts) Colleges I and II July 2010 through June 2015.

Number of Institutions	Mean	Standard Deviation
36	443.1	10.2

Mean Total Score	No. of Institutions	Percent Below
470 to 500.00	2	94
469 to 469.99	0	94
468 to 468.99	0	94
467 to 467.99	0	94
466 to 466.99	0	94
465 to 465.99	0	94
464 to 464.99	0	94
463 to 463.99	0	94
462 to 462.99	0	94
461 to 461.99	0	94
460 to 460.99	0	94
459 to 459.99	0	94
458 to 458.99	0	94
457 to 457.99	0	94
456 to 456.99	0	94
455 to 455.99	0	94
454 to 454.99	1	92
453 to 453.99	0	92
452 to 452.99	2	86
451 to 451.99	0	86
450 to 450.99	0	86
449 to 449.99	0	86
448 to 448.99	2	81
447 to 447.99	2	75

Mean Total Score	No. of Institutions	Percent Below
446 to 446.99	2	69
445 to 445.99	1	67
444 to 444.99	5	53
443 to 443.99	1	50
442 to 442.99	0	50
441 to 441.99	1	47
440 to 440.99	1	44
439 to 439.99	1	42
438 to 438.99	2	36
437 to 437.99	4	25
436 to 436.99	3	17
435 to 435.99	0	17
434 to 434.99	0	17
433 to 433.99	1	14
432 to 432.99	1	11
431 to 431.99	0	11
430 to 430.99	3	3
429 to 429.99	1	0
428 to 428.99	0	0
427 to 427.99	0	0
426 to 426.99	0	0
425 to 425.99	0	0
400 to 424.99	0	0



### 2015 Comparative Data Guide Distribution of Institutional Mean Subscores— Seniors (More than 90 semester hours or more than 145 quarter hours), Baccalaureate (Liberal Arts) Colleges I and II July 2010 through June 2015.

Skill	Number of Institutions	Mean	Standard Deviation
Critical Thinking	36	111.7	2.7
Reading	36	117.8	2.7
Writing	36	114.2	1.8
Mathematics	36	112.5	2.5
Humanities	36	115.9	2.3
Social Sciences	36	113.9	2.4
Natural Sciences	36	115.1	2.4

### **Critical Thinking**

Mean Subscore	No. of Institutions	Percent Below
126 to 130	0	100
125 to 125.99	0	100
124 to 124.99	0	100
123 to 123.99	0	100
122 to 122.99	0	100
121 to 121.99	0	100
120 to 120.99	2	94
119 to 119.99	0	94
118 to 118.99	0	94
117 to 117.99	0	94
116 to 116.99	0	94
115 to 115.99	0	94
114 to 114.99	3	86
113 to 113.99	3	78
112 to 112.99	4	67
111 to 111.99	7	47
110 to 110.99	8	25
109 to 109.99	5	11
108 to 108.99	3	3
107 to 107.99	1	0
106 to 106.99	0	0
100 to 105.99	0	0

## Reading

Mean Subscore	No. of Institutions	Percent Below
126 to 130	0	100
125 to 125.99	2	94
124 to 124.99	0	94
123 to 123.99	0	94
122 to 122.99	0	94
121 to 121.99	1	92
120 to 120.99	2	86
119 to 119.99	5	72
118 to 118.99	6	56
117 to 117.99	5	42
116 to 116.99	4	31
115 to 115.99	6	14
114 to 114.99	4	3
113 to 113.99	1	0
112 to 112.99	0	0
111 to 111.99	0	0
110 to 110.99	0	0
109 to 109.99	0	0
108 to 108.99	0	0
107 to 107.99	0	0
106 to 106.99	0	0
100 to 105.99	0	0



# Writing

Mean Subscore	No. of Institutions	Percent Below
126 to 130	0	100
125 to 125.99	0	100
124 to 124.99	0	100
123 to 123.99	0	100
122 to 122.99	0	100
121 to 121.99	0	100
120 to 120.99	0	100
119 to 119.99	2	94
118 to 118.99	0	94
117 to 117.99	0	94
116 to 116.99	2	89
115 to 115.99	5	75
114 to 114.99	10	47
113 to 113.99	7	28
112 to 112.99	8	6
111 to 111.99	2	0
110 to 110.99	0	0
109 to 109.99	0	0
108 to 108.99	0	0
107 to 107.99	0	0
106 to 106.99	0	0
100 to 105.99	0	0

# Humanities

Mean Subscore	No. of Institutions	Percent Below
126 to 130	0	100
125 to 125.99	0	100
124 to 124.99	0	100
123 to 123.99	1	97
122 to 122.99	1	94
121 to 121.99	0	94
120 to 120.99	0	94
119 to 119.99	0	94
118 to 118.99	2	89
117 to 117.99	7	69
116 to 116.99	4	58
115 to 115.99	8	36
114 to 114.99	5	22
113 to 113.99	7	3
112 to 112.99	1	0
111 to 111.99	0	0
110 to 110.99	0	0
109 to 109.99	0	0
108 to 108.99	0	0
107 to 107.99	0	0
106 to 106.99	0	0
100 to 105.99	0	0

### Mathematics

Mean Subscore	No. of Institutions	Percent Below
126 to 130	0	100
125 to 125.99	0	100
124 to 124.99	0	100
123 to 123.99	0	100
122 to 122.99	0	100
121 to 121.99	0	100
120 to 120.99	2	94
119 to 119.99	0	94
118 to 118.99	0	94
117 to 117.99	0	94
116 to 116.99	0	94
115 to 115.99	2	89
114 to 114.99	4	78
113 to 113.99	5	64
112 to 112.99	5	50
111 to 111.99	8	28
110 to 110.99	6	11
109 to 109.99	3	3
108 to 108.99	1	0
107 to 107.99	0	0
106 to 106.99	0	0
100 to 105.99	0	0

## **Social Sciences**

Mean Subscore	No. of Institutions	Percent Below
126 to 130	0	100
125 to 125.99	0	100
124 to 124.99	0	100
123 to 123.99	0	100
122 to 122.99	0	100
121 to 121.99	1	97
120 to 120.99	1	94
119 to 119.99	0	94
118 to 118.99	0	94
117 to 117.99	0	94
116 to 116.99	2	89
115 to 115.99	4	78
114 to 114.99	8	56
113 to 113.99	5	42
112 to 112.99	8	19
111 to 111.99	6	3
110 to 110.99	0	3
109 to 109.99	1	0
108 to 108.99	0	0
107 to 107.99	0	0
106 to 106.99	0	0
100 to 105.99	0	0



## **Natural Sciences**

Mean Subscore	No. of Institutions	Percent Below
126 to 130	0	100
125 to 125.99	0	100
124 to 124.99	0	100
123 to 123.99	0	100
122 to 122.99	0	100
121 to 121.99	2	94
120 to 120.99	0	94
119 to 119.99	0	94
118 to 118.99	2	89
117 to 117.99	2	83
116 to 116.99	4	72
115 to 115.99	7	53
114 to 114.99	6	36
113 to 113.99	6	19
112 to 112.99	3	11
111 to 111.99	4	0
110 to 110.99	0	0
109 to 109.99	0	0
108 to 108.99	0	0
107 to 107.99	0	0
106 to 106.99	0	0
100 to 105.99	0	0



### 2015 Comparative Data Guide Distribution of Individual Students' Total Scores- Seniors (More than 90 semester hours or more than 145 quarter hours), Baccalaureate (Liberal Arts) Colleges I and II July 2010 through June 2015.

Number of Students	Mean	Standard Deviation
17,554*	440.8	20.8

Percentile	Scaled Score
90 <sup>th</sup>	472
75 <sup>th</sup>	455
50 <sup>th</sup>	438
25 <sup>th</sup>	425
10 <sup>th</sup>	416

Scaled Score	Percent Below	Scaled Score	Percent Below		Scaled Score	Percent Below		Scaled Score	Percent Below
500	>99	475	92		450	69	ľ	425	23
499	>99	474	91		449	69		424	21
498	>99	473	91		448	67		423	21
497	>99	472	90		447	64		422	18
496	99	471	90		446	64		421	16
495	99	470	90		445	63		420	15
494	99	469	88		444	58		419	13
493	99	468	87		443	58		418	12
492	99	467	87		442	58		417	12
491	99	466	87		441	54		416	9
490	98	465	86		440	52		415	9
489	98	464	84		439	52		414	7
488	97	463	83	-	438	50		413	6
487	97	462	82		437	47		412	6
486	97	461	82		436	45		411	4
485	97	460	82		435	44		410	4
484	97	459	80		434	41		409	4
483	96	458	78		433	39		408	2
482	96	457	78		432	39		407	2
481	95	456	78		431	33		406	1
180	95	455	74		430	33		405	1
479	94	454	74		429	31		404	1
478	94	453	74		428	29		403	1
477	93	452	73		427	26		402	<1
476	92	451	69		426	26		401	<1

\*The score distribution used to compute these statistics has been modified, to prevent the statistics from being dominated by a few very large institutions. If an institution contributed more than 1600 students to this data set, the score of each of its students has been weighted by the fraction 1600/n, where n is the number of students from that institution. For example, if an institution tested 3200 students, the score of each of its students would receive a weight of 1600/3200 = 1/2. In computing the statistics, each of its students would count only half as much as a student from an institution that tested 1600 or fewer students. Therefore, an institution testing 3200 students would influence the statistics just as much as if it had tested only 1600 students.



### 2015 Comparative Data Guide

Distribution of Individual Students' Subscores—Seniors (More than 90 semester hours or more than 145 quarter hours), Baccalaureate (Liberal Arts) Colleges I and II July 2010 through June 2015.

	Critical Thinking	Reading	Writing	Mathematics	Humanities	Social Sciences	Natural Sciences
Number of Students	17,554*	17,554*	17,554*	17,554*	17,554*	17,554*	17,554*
Mean Score	111.0	117.3	113.6	112.1	115.3	113.4	114.6
Standard Deviation	6.6	7.5	5.3	6.0	6.7	6.5	6.4
Percentile	Critical Thinking	Reading	Writing	Mathematics	Humanities	Social Sciences	Natural Sciences
Percentile 90 <sup>th</sup>	Critical Thinking 121	Reading 127	Writing 121	Mathematics 120	Humanities 125	Social Sciences 122	Natural Sciences 124
Percentile 90 <sup>th</sup> 75 <sup>th</sup>	Critical Thinking 121 115	Reading 127 124	Writing 121 117	Mathematics 120 116	Humanities 125 121	Social Sciences 122 118	Natural Sciences 124 120
Percentile 90 <sup>th</sup> 75 <sup>th</sup> 50 <sup>th</sup>	Critical Thinking 121 115 110	Reading 127 124 118	Writing 121 117 113	Mathematics 120 116 112	Humanities 125 121 116	Social Sciences 122 118 112	Natural Sciences 124 120 115
Percentile           90 <sup>th</sup> 75 <sup>th</sup> 50 <sup>th</sup> 25 <sup>th</sup>	Critical Thinking 121 115 110 106	Reading 127 124 118 111	Writing 121 117 113 110	Mathematics 120 116 112 107	Humanities 125 121 116 109	Social Sciences 122 118 112 107	Natural           Sciences           124           120           115           110

Skills Subscores: Percent of Students Below Each Scaled Score

Scaled Score	Critical Thinking	Reading	Writing	Mathematics
130	>99	95	>99	>99
129	>99	95	>99	>99
128	>99	93	>99	>99
127	98	86	>99	97
126	98	83	>99	97
125	98	82	99	97
124	96	74	99	95
123	93	70	94	91
122	93	65	93	90
121	88	61	89	90
120	85	56	84	84
119	84	55	79	84
118	81	47	78	83
117	80	46	71	77
116	76	45	59	73
115	72	35	57	68
114	67	31	50	67
113	66	30	38	61
112	57	29	37	50
111	51	21	27	49
110	50	16	22	42
109	39	16	21	31
108	38	15	11	28
107	27	6	11	15
106	22	6	7	11
105	17	5	5	5
104	10	3	3	3
103	9	1	2	2
102	4	1	<1	1
101	2	1	<1	<1
100	0	0	0	0



Scaled Score	Humanities	Social Sciences	Natural Sciences
130	>99	>99	>99
129	99	>99	>99
128	96	>99	>99
127	95	98	99
126	92	96	94
125	89	94	94
124	85	94	90
123	81	91	89
122	80	86	84
121	75	81	78
120	69	81	73
119	68	76	67
118	61	75	67
117	55	62	59
116	49	60	59
115	48	55	47
114	47	53	46
113	41	53	41
112	27	37	38
111	26	37	26
110	25	29	20
109	21	29	19
108	14	28	19
107	9	15	10
106	4	10	6
105	3	9	5
104	2	6	5
103	1	1	2
102	<1	1	2
101	<1	<1	1
100	0	0	0

### Context-Based Subscores: Percent of Students Below Each Scaled Score

\*The score distribution used to compute these statistics has been modified, to prevent the statistics from being dominated by a few very large institutions. If an institution contributed more than 1600 students to this data set, the score of each of its students has been weighted by the fraction 1600/n, where n is the number of students from that institution. For example, if an institution tested 3200 students, the score of each of its students would receive a weight of 1600/3200 = 1/2. In computing the statistics, each of its students would count only half as much as a student from an institution that tested 1600 or fewer students. Therefore, an institution testing 3200 students would influence the statistics just as much as if it had tested only 1600 students.



### 2015 Comparative Data Guide Summary of Proficiency Classifications— Seniors (More than 90 semester hours or more than 145 quarter hours), Baccalaureate (Liberal Arts) Colleges I and II July 2010 through June 2015.

Total Number of Students	Weighted Number of Students
18,674	17,554*

Skill Dimension and Level	Classified as Proficient	Classified as Marginal	Classified as Non-Proficient
Critical Thinking	5%	12%	83%
Reading, Level 2	29%	16%	54%
Reading, Level 1	54%	19%	27%
Writing, Level 3	5%	20%	74%
Writing, Level 2	16%	29%	55%
Writing, Level 1	49%	29%	22%
Mathematics, Level 3	5%	11%	84%
Mathematics, Level 2	19%	22%	59%
Mathematics, Level 1	39%	23%	38%

### **Percent of Students Classified**

\*The score distribution used to compute these statistics has been modified, to prevent the statistics from being dominated by a few very large institutions. If an institution contributed more than 1600 students to this data set, the score of each of its students has been weighted by the fraction 1600/n, where n is the number of students from that institution. For example, if an institution tested 3200 students, the score of each of its students would receive a weight of 1600/3200 = 1/2. In computing the statistics, each of its students would count only half as much as a student from an institution that tested 1600 or fewer students. Therefore, an institution testing 3200 students would influence the statistics just as much as if it had tested only 1600 students.



#### 2015 Comparative Data Guide Demographic Summary— Seniors (More than 90 semester hours or more than 145 quarter hours), Baccalaureate (Liberal Arts) Colleges I and II July 2010 through June 2015.

Age	Unweighted Data	Weighted Data*
Under 20	<1%	<1%
20 to 29	51%	52%
30 to 39	26%	25%
40 to 49	16%	15%
50 to 59	6%	6%
60 or more	1%	1%
Gender	Unweighted Data	Weighted Data*
Male	43%	43%
Female	57%	57%
Ethnicity	Unweighted Data	Weighted Data*
African American	16%	15%
American Indian/Alaskan Native	1%	1%
Asian/Asian American/Pacific Is.	3%	3%
Black Hispanic	1%	1%
Hispanic	7%	7%
Latin American	1%	1%
White	65%	65%
Other	7%	7%
Best Language	Unweighted Data	Weighted Data*
English	82%	82%
Other Language	14%	14%
Both Equal	4%	4%

### **Percent in Demographic Category**



Enrollment Status	Unweighted Data	Weighted Data*
Full Time	82%	82%
Part Time	18%	18%
Credit Hours Transferred	Unweighted Data	Weighted Data*
Not a Transfer	43%	43%
0-15 Hours Transferred	8%	8%
16-30 Hours Transferred	10%	9%
>30 Hours Transferred	39%	39%

Hours Worked for Wages	Unweighted Data	Weighted Data*
None	15%	15%
1-15 Hours	15%	16%
16-30 Hours	17%	17%
>30 Hours	54%	53%

Cumulative GPA	Unweighted Data	Weighted Data*
3.50 - 4.00	46%	46%
3.00 - 3.49	33%	33%
2.50 - 2.99	16%	16%
2.00 - 2.49	4%	4%
1.00 - 1.99	<1%	<1%
Less than 1.00	<1%	<1%

\*The score distribution used to compute these statistics has been modified, to prevent the statistics from being dominated by a few very large institutions. If an institution contributed more than 1600 students to this data set, the score of each of its students has been weighted by the fraction 1600/n, where n is the number of students from that institution. For example, if an institution tested 3200 students, the score of each of its students would receive a weight of 1600/3200 = 1/2. In computing the statistics, each of its students would count only half as much as a student from an institution that tested 1600 or fewer students. Therefore, an institution testing 3200 students would influence the statistics just as much as if it had tested only 1600 students.